

5:30pm, 1st February 2021 via Microsoft Teams

Minutes

Present: Name

Job Title

Georgia Petts (GP) James Brooks (JB) Sue Liburd (SL) Amina Akugri (AA) Liz Mossop (LM) Lucy Krogdahl (LK) Bailey Marchant (BM) Abbie Eke (AE) Jamie Smith (JS) Roksana Drobinoga (RD) Christian Meadows (CM) Chris Bateman (CB) Sade Sekoni (SS)

Also in attendance:

Hannah Coleman (HC) Abi Brown (AB) Danielle Pepper (DP) Callum Roper (CR) Holly Millar (HM)

Job Litle
VP Education/Honorary President (Chair)
Chief Executive/Company Secretary (present for part of the meeting only)
Deputy Chair
Sabbatical Trustee
Vice Chancellor's Nominee
Sabbatical Trustee
Sabbatical Trustee
Supervising Trustee
Student Trustee (Postgraduate)
Student Trustee (Mature)
Student Trustee (Undergraduate)
External Trustee
BAME Officer

Deputy Chief Executive (present for part of the meeting only) Sabbatical Officer Head of Finance (present for part of the meeting only) Communities Officer (present for part of the meeting only) Executive Assistant (present for part of the meeting only)

	ltem	Action
1.	Welcome & Opening Business	
	Apologies for Absence	
	Declarations of Interest	
	Apologies were noted for the following:	
	- Sade Sekoni (SS), BAME Officer	
•	LK noted that she had a personal connection with one of the staff members listed.	
	RD noted that she had joined the College of Arts Health & Safety Committee.	
	RD noted that she had joined the College of Arts Health & Safety Committee. JS noted	HM
	that he was intending to run as an independent candidate in the local elections and that	
	his role within the Library had changed which had been amended since the papers were	
	issued. HM to update the register.	
2.	Proposed Changes to Bye-Laws	
	Cover Sheet	
	Proposed Changes	
CR j	oined the meeting.	



5:30pm, 1st February 2021 via Microsoft Teams

Minutes

The papers were taken as read.			
• JB outlined the history of this matter following discussions at the previous meeting.			
• CR noted that he felt the proposed changes reflected an acceptable compromise			
between democratic processes and the statutory requirements of the Board and the			
Charity.			
• AE noted that the time taken to review the papers was intended to allow suitable time for			
consideration and not an obstructive measure.			
• JB noted that it was for the Board to determine whether the changes were deemed			
substantive. AE noted that administrative changes to the Bye-Laws would be considered,			
in legal terms, to be non-substantive.			
CR left the meeting.			
The group approved the changes as proposed.	7		
3. ULSU Group Title Consolidation			
JB, HC, DP and HM left the meeting.			
The papers were taken as read.			
• GP and SL outlined the paper and the reasoning behind the proposal.			
• The Board agreed that this would help to communicate that the SU was a larger entity			
than the Charity aspect and would create a more cohesive staffing team across the group.			
• It was also noted as a thanks to JB for raising this when the decision could be made as			
the CEO.			
• It was noted that no staff had raised objections regarding their role title changes.			
JB, HC, DP and HM re-joined the meeting.			
• GP noted that the Board were in support of the proposal as outlined within the paper.			
4. Covid-19 Response (Phase 3)			
Cover Sheet (The Swan Hibernation, Staff Redundancies, Share Allocation and			
Loan Conversion)			
Redundancy Costs			
• 2020/21			
 Summary 20-21 Budget Scenarios 			
 ULSU - Forecast Balance Sheet June 21 			
 LSUT - Forecast Balance Sheet June 21 			
 Dept overview 2020-21 Budget Scenario 1 			
 Dept overview 2020-21 Budget Scenario 2 			
• Dept overview 2020-21 Budget Scenario 3 (Most likely)			
• 2021/22			
 Summary 21-22 Budget Scenarios 			
 ULSU - Forecast Balance Sheet June 22 			
 LSUT - Forecast Balance Sheet June 22 			



5:30pm, 1st February 2021 via Microsoft Teams

Minutes

• Dept overview 2021-22 Budget Scenario 1	
• Dept overview 2021-22 Budget Scenario 2	
• Dept overview 2021-22 Budget Scenario 3 (Most likely)	
The papers were taken as read.	
GP noted that these papers had been seen in full by the LSUT Board and the Finance	• Sub-
Committee and recommended to the Board for approval.	
• JB outlined that there were three decisions for consideration, namely the hibernat	ion of
The Swan, the redundancies and the share allocation.	
JB outlined the history of previous actions taken during the pandemic and the co within other SU's.	ontext
JB thanked DP for her efforts on the scenario planning.	
 JB noted that the share investment was the action that the Charity Commission endorsing for Charities with trading subsidiaries and that it would be utilisin opportunity granted by the Charity Commission relaxing its procedures 	
 JB noted that this was classed as a mixed-motive investment due to it being a su mechanism as the benefit would be realised in the future rather than immediately. 	pport
• JB noted that they were seeking professional advice regarding the tax implications,	which
was expected this week.	
CB noted that this had been discussed at length in the LSUT Board and that the	main
concerns raised related to the tax implications and the exit strategy in the longer te	rm.
 JS queried the value of the share investment; JB noted that this proposal was intend 	led as
a benefit for LSUT's balance sheet as the cash flow within LSUT was not the pr	rimary
concern.	
 SL asked that this decision to return to the full Board for final approval rather tha Sub-Committee. 	in the
JB noted that student staff recruitment was about to begin on the understanding	g that
those roles would begin work only when the venues were able to re-open.	
The group approved the share investment proposal, subject to satisfactory profes	sional
advice from the Auditors.	
• JB noted that these scenarios were based on increased staffing within the Charity.	
It was agreed that if the professional advice from the Auditors was straightforward	, then
approval via email would be suitable but that any concerns or queries raised would	need
to be discussed in a meeting. JB noted that approval via email would need	to be
unanimous.	
5. 2020/21 and 2021/22 Cash Flow	
The papers were taken as read.	
DP noted that the cash flow had been based upon scenario three.	
DP noted that the negative value within SUMS was related to the service charges an	d that
this was an inter-company movement which could be adjusted.	



5:30pm, 1st February 2021 via Microsoft Teams

Minutes

6.	Any Other Business	
•	 No other items were raised for discussion. 	
	Date of the next meeting: 9:30am, 12 th March 2021	

Chair Signe	d
Chair Signe	d